Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/835,791	DANIEL JR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Baoquoc N. To	2162
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>	
(1) <u>Baoquoc N. To</u> .	(3)	
(2) McFaddent R. Jeffrey, Reg. 46,916.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 August 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	•	
89, 175 and 261		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
•		
		•
Bascleve My Do		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contact the applicant's representative, Mr. McFadden R. Jeffrey, Reg. No. 46,916 on 08/28/2007 to propose an amendment to clarify the language of "an item capture subsystem, an image archive system, a transaction data archive subsystem and a research engine." Mr. Fadden agreed to the proposed amendment and filed a supplemental amendment.